I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the date shown below.

Dated: June 3, 2002

Signature:

Docket No.: TESSERA 3.0-159 DIV

Group Art Unit: 2826

Examiner: P. Greene

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE THE

In re Patent Application of: John W. Smith

Application No.: 09/942,363

Filed: August 29, 2001

For: MICROELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES WITH COMPOSITE CONDUCTIVE ELEMENTS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE

The present communication is responsive the Official Action mailed March 29, 2002. A petition for a twomonth extension of the term for response to said Official

Action, to and including June 29, 2002, is transmitted herewith.

The Official Action set forth a restriction requirement as between Group I, claims 1-19, and Group II, In a telephone interview between undersigned claims 20-24. counsel and Examiner Pershelle Greene May on undersigned counsel requested that the restriction requirement be modified so as to place claims 1-15 and 20-24 in one group and place claims 16-19 in another group. As correctly pointed out by the Examiner, claims 16-19 do not require the type of conductive element defined in claim 20. However, claim 1 does require such a conductive element; the language of claim 1, paragraph (a) tracks the language of independent claim 20 with the sole exception that claim 1 does not require the use of a "spherical core." Claim 5, dependent from claim 1, does require the use of a spherical core. As pointed out by counsel,

(PATENT)

examination of claims 1-15 necessarily would require a search directed to the features set forth in claims 20-24. Accordingly, it was agreed in such telephone interview that the restriction requirement would be modified as requested by counsel, so as to require restriction between claims 1-15 and 20-24 as a first group, and claims 16-19 as a second group.

In response to the restriction requirement as modified, applicant hereby elects the first group namely, claims 1-15 and 20-24 for examination in the present application. The non-elected claims have not been canceled but, instead, have been retained in the application pending consideration as to filing of a divisional application.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Ву

Dated: June 3, 2002

371380\_1.DOC

Respectfully submitted,

Marcus J. Millet

Registration No.: 28,241

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorneys for Applicant

\_